

BR. [REDACTED]:

Tuesday, April 22, 2003

I am returning this packet of confidential material to you in anticipation of your body of elders' handling of these matters once again. The details of the information have been kept confidential as although we had not assigned a "committee", I have been in phone correspondence with the CT. Service Desk as well as our C.O. regarding this matter. Besides the fact that br. [REDACTED] still resides in your territory and is planning to move back there (following his marriage to a Sr. in our Cong.), it appears that you are in a better position than the Warehouse Point Cong. to pick up matters where they were left off back in May '00, as things seem to be coming to a head.

It seems now, (as communicated directly to our Circuit Overseer- [REDACTED]) that [REDACTED] is now willing to go forward with an 'accusation' in support of her prior 'allegations' against her father - as detailed in your 11/14/99 letter TO the Society. (Deut 19:15)

The letter received from the Society dated 3/27/00 indicated that it would be important to "ascertain that both [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] are willing to either meet with their father face to face or talk to him over the telephone about their accusations against him... she has to be in a position to face her father with the accusations, but not necessarily in person..." Prior information on this was also provided in the 12/23/99 letter to Palmer which said: "...Of course, the Kingdom Ministry text book on page 119 also states: "When other extenuating circumstances exist, two elders may discuss the matter with the accused, or an elder may accompany the witness to discuss the matter with the accused." With the help of an elder, [REDACTED] could also make her accusation over the telephone, thus avoiding a face-to-face confrontation if she felt threatened."

In view of the above, here are a few questions you no doubt will wish to consider perhaps with a phone call to the service desk:

- 1) Since [REDACTED] has already 'confronted her father via telephone (1996)- even receiving a reply from him via letter-, would it be necessary for her to become involved again in presenting her accusation anew?
- 2) In view of the now apparent two witnesses, even if accusations are still denied by her father, can matters now proceed judicially? ([REDACTED] understandably does not want to go through this ordeal if that is not going to happen)... I spoke with a brother on the CT service desk, who indicated **that as long as the committee viewed the two witnesses as credible, this could be done.** However it may be good to inquire of the MA desk, since they have all of your previous correspondence.
- 3) Re: 3/27/00 letter from Society, the question was raised: "Is her ([REDACTED]s) testimony based on actual memory or on "repressed memory?" Based on [REDACTED]'s letter of accusation regarding her experiences, 'these are not repressed memories'. In [REDACTED] case, conversations with the C.O. has confirmed that her recollections are **not based** on 'repressed memories' even though she does have **some** such memories.

May Jehovah be with you all as you work hard to uphold his righteous standards in these difficult matters. If I may be of any further assistance, please don't hesitate to call on me.

Your brother,

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
860 [REDACTED]

---